WILLIAMS et al. Appl. No. 09/750,783

November 2, 2004

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Further consideration of this application is respectfully requested.

The amendments suggested by the Examiner for claims 1-10 have been effected by the

above amendment.

In response to the rejection of claims 2 and 7 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph,

these claims have been amended so as to avoid the term "the conversion" and thus obviate this

ground of rejection.

The suggestion for rewriting dependent claims 2 and 7 to make them allowable and the

indication on the Office Action Summary that such claims are subject to restriction and/or

election requirement is not understood. These claims are dependent from claims that have

already been provisionally allowed. It is therefore assumed that all original claims 1-10 are now

in allowed condition.

Attention is also directed to new method claims 11-20 which can be analogized to

apparatus claims 1-10. It is believed that these method claims are also allowable for reasons

analogous to the allowance of claims 1-10.

Accordingly, this entire application is now believed to be in allowable condition and a

formal Notice to that effect is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

LSN:vc

1100 North Glebe Road, 8th Floor

Arlington, VA 22201-4714

Telephone: (703) 816-4000

Facsimile: (703) 816-4100

- 7 -

895804